

**May 16, 2011 – 10:00 A.M. – DRAINAGE BOARD MEETING – Newton County
Government Center**

The Newton County Drainage Board met on May 16, 2011 at 10:00 A.M. in the Newton County Government Center. Present were Board Members Chr. Russell Collins, James Pistello and Tim Drenth; Surveyor Chris Knochel; County Engineer Larry Holderly; Drainage Board Attorney Dan Blaney; Secretary Debra Honn. The meeting was opened by Russ.

Minutes – April 18, 2011 – Signed

Minutes – May 2, 2011 – Jim made a motion to approve the minutes from the May 2nd meeting with a second from Tim. Motion carried.

Assessment Presentation – Russ stated that Danielle would be doing the Assessment Presentation at the June 6th meeting since we are short on time today.

Funding Request – Landfill Industrial Park Drain – Chris stated, “When the energy park was put in by the landfill they needed an outlet for drainage. There was a pond put in & an outlet into the pond but it does not outlet into a regulated drain. The regulated drain is ¼ mi away. What I need to do is connect the regulated drain to the pond or to the industrial park. The only funding available in that type of situation is the **Landfill Drainage Fund 0906** - which is \$10,000 the landfill pays into a fund and past drainage board has said anything related to the landfill – any drainage related to the landfill could be addressed through funding out of this fund”. And so I am just bringing that to the board’s attention that we’ve been a number – couple of years – without regulated drains for that industrial park”. Jim asked where Chris is talking about to which Chris responded, “Almost immediately south of where the pond is for INIG”. Chris said the regulated drain is on the adjoining property south of what the landfill owns on the south side of 500. Chris needs to connect the regulated drain to the pond so that it is not outletting onto private property which in essence is what is currently happening. So he just needs to extend the regulated drain just to protect the industrial park. Jim asked if Chris is making any changes there to which Chris said he just needs to extend the legal drain. Jim said they actually had to move that drain in on the other side of the pole so that we didn’t use the roadside ditch. That drain just goes in essence nowhere. So right now it just needs connected to the regulated drain. Russ asked if we needed to have a hearing to extend. Chris said at some point we will need a hearing but right now he just needs to extend the drain ¼ mile. Jim said so it is going from regulated to unregulated to the pond which is regulated. Larry said there is a treatment plant with a swale and Chris stated they land up in the same place as the Battleday. Jim said this is different. Tim said so the drain is in place & functioning to which Jim said it isn’t a drain it is a swale. Chris said the tile is there under the swale and that the tile is regulated along with the swale so he just wants to extend and connect the two. Jim made a motion to extend the Battleday Lateral #2 to the Industrial Energy Park Drain with a second by Tim. Motion carried.

Reminder – Hearings – Frank Johnson Tile – Chris stated a couple of hearings are slated for June 6th. The first hearing is for the Frank Johnson Tile. Jim stated this is scheduled after the crops are in. Chris stated it is already there. What is already there? The tile is already there. Did we go out and do the work Jim asked to which Chris responded the work was done before he got there as far as getting the surface water over to the RR

Ditch. Is that already done? Yes, the surface water was done before Chris got there. What the board is proposing is to sever the tile and also outlet the tile water into the same ditch which is a different scenario. Jim said it can't be done after the crops are in and the ditch has to be dug. Chris said the ditch has to actually be moved. After the tile was severed Chris said it got very complicated. Tim wanted to know if anything had been dug there yet to which Jim responded, "Nothing". Russ said, "Not re-dug". "Has the ditch been moved as you said yet", Tim questioned. "No, we have to make it a regulated drain in order to move it", Chris replied. "You are out letting regulated drain into a private drain and so we have to have the hearing in order to do that". Jim asked, "What part of the drain do you want to regulate"? Chris replied, "The open ditch, all the way from like a mile right there on the RR Property". "You are talking the RR Property", Jim stated. "Yeah, the ditch on the RR Property, but we have to move the ditch out of the RR Property". "You don't have to move that whole ditch – how you going to move that ditch". "You just have to go back on the back side a couple feet. You not moving the ditch, you just have to widen it a couple feet to the west", Jim replied. "We had to lengthen it a lot further once we got out there if you want Larry to explain it". Larry explained, "The ditch that the I/o dug needs to be lowered about 1 – 1 ½ ft. over to the RR track and then dipped out south along the RR track to the west side of the ditch because we don't want to undermine the RR embankment". "In order for it to properly drain Chris needs to regulate it down to about ¾ mile. Now if you want to regulate the RR Ditch it needs to be regulated all the way down". Chris said, "It is actually closer to a mile". Larry added, "The surface drain is working we just need to dip 1 – 1 ½ for the tile". Tim asked, "And we can't dig that until it is regulated, is that correct"? "I wouldn't recommend it", Chris commented. "But we already ordered it done though, Chris", Jim replied, "So why would you say not recommended"? "We already asked – the whole board voted to do it". "Several times", added Tim, "and it's still not done". "We don't mind extending the drain if that is what you want, but the guy wanted it done before the crops get in and now you are talking a June hearing and the crops are going to be in by then", Jim explained. "Well, we got two problems – we have to move the ditch and we have to have landowner authorization". "Chris, you're not really moving the ditch - all you are going to do is extend it – you're going to dig out on the west side a couple feet or a couple rows of corn so you are not really moving the ditch, you are just digging out on the west side of it – making it a little bit wider", Jim said. Chris responded, "I am not going to argue the semantics of it, if he's losing two rows of corn, you are moving the ditch". "This has already been discussed", Tim said, "So I don't even know why we are talking about it". "What I am trying to avoid is having a one mile stretch of private ditch that now has a regulated drain out letting into it", Chris explained. "We don't have a problem with doing that, Chris, but the work needed to be done before the crops went in", replied Jim. "But my office has a problem with it because just like all the other drains"...."You just said we could do it but you don't recommend it", Jim interjected. Tim said, "We did it already". Chris stated, "I don't recommend it because of the problem we could have down the road like all the other problems that we are dealing with that should have been dealt with 30 years ago and now all of a sudden these are dumped in our lap because somebody didn't dot all the I's and cross all the t's." "We're going to do that, we're going to do that – we just needed to get the work done before the guy gets his

crops in". "And there again, I would not recommend that", Chris said. Jim replied, "But I think we already ordered it, I don't know if you recommended or not, but we are the Drainage Board", Jim said. Dan suggested to just have Wiseman's do it and then send us a bill. Then when the bill is received we can pay it. Jim stated, "I don't have a problem with that" and Tim responded, "I think that would be great because I know he'd get it done". Dan asked, "Wouldn't that work, Chris"? "I, it doesn't matter to me as long as he moves the ditch away from the RR grade because it's going to be a constant problem." It was agreed that Dan would confer with Larry and compile a letter to the Wiseman's in regard to this matter. Jim said, "We need to get it done". Chris said, "I don't disagree with that, but at some point we are going to have to have a hearing to move that regulated drain". Jim replied, "I don't have a problem with a hearing, I just have a problem with getting it done". Scott Carlson asked as a private citizen, if anything needed done south of the RR to which Larry said 600'. Scott replied, "So that is still on his property(Wiseman's)". Russ said, "Later, after the legal drain, we can come in and clean the trees out farther south". Chris responded, "Right, at some point in the future". Jim asked Dan to send Wiseman's a letter, call them and tell them to go ahead and we will reimburse them. Chris stated, "I am not going to work on a private drain". And then we will have the hearing on the 6th for the tile. Jim said to Dan, "You don't have much time so you better call them" to which Dan responded, "I will". Deb asked if Dan had already spoken to Wiseman's to see if they would pay for it to which he responded they acted like they would. Now we are going to ask them to do the work and we will reimburse them.

Frank McGraw – Chris stated, "This pertains to the extension on the south side of 24 to connect the drainage needs of the Co-Alliance Elevator on the west side of Goodland to the regulated drain. It's another 1000 ft. or under 1000 ft. Similar situation – the regulated drain ends on the west side of SR 55 which is north of 24 and then there is a private tile that runs from that point under 24 for about 600'. It is a 10" tile. What the elevator is proposing is to bring an 8" tile from their property 960' to the private tile on the south side of 24. We have INDOT involved and a couple of other I/o just to advise them that we are going to make their private tile a regulated drain. And INDOT is involved because it goes through two INDOT right-a-ways. Russ asked, "So what do we need to do? Make a motion to extend the legal drain or what would be the motion"? "We have to wait for the hearing", Chris recommended, "Because the elevator is going to pay for the project". It is just information. The hearing date is June 6th.

Other Matters – Anita Evans is here and has a drainage problem and thinks she knows where there is a tile. Chris has instructed her that there is not much he can do until he has evidence there is a drain. Anita explained that the area in question was all dry in 2006-2007, however now water stands on her land. Trees have been lost due to the pooled water. She stated they have been there 30 years and never had the problem until the last few years. Jim asked if we can go out there & poke for the tile to which Chris responded if she knows where it is, he can go out & poke. Anita said she had seen where there was open tile years ago when Fannie Hoffman was around. Chris said the Narrows is to the west of her and the Dickinson is to the East of her. Chris stated there is no regulated tile connecting her property to the regulated drain. Jim said basically it is up to Anita to find the tile. Chris responded then if it is obstructed it becomes an obstructed

drain and then his office can help with that. Russ suggested she work with Chris to find the tile. If the neighbors are the cause of the obstruction from Anita's property to the Narrows, then they would pay for the obstruction if they are downstream. Therefore nothing can be said for sure until an obstruction or broken tile is determined. Jim asked Chris to go look at it so that we will know. Chris agreed.

Hibler System Survey Quotes – There were two bids received for the Hibler System Survey work. The first bid is from Heyde Engineering in the amount of \$25,763.00 and the second bid is from Abonmarche in the amount of \$47,440.00. Chris mentioned that Abonmarche is the firm that worked on the DeHaan Ditch and also stated that Darryl from Abonmarche is present. Chris stated he had offered the notice to two other contractors – Beam, Longest & Neff and Jasper County Land Surveying Services– who both declined. Jim made a motion to accept both bids as long as they meet the specs and stipulated that we are not doing anything until we get the funding in place for it. Tim made a second motion. Motion carried. Chris stated his estimate was right at \$31,000.

Foresman Tile – Dan recommended that the balance owed to the GDIF for the Foresman Tile be paid directly out of the Landfill Fund. The contractor was paid already but the money needs repaid to the GDIF. The landfill fund would repay the debt. Russ stated they would take care of it in the Commissioners Meeting and then take it to the Council. Jim asked, "What if they tell us no - then we are out \$28,000." Russ stated the motion can be made by the commissioner's then take it to the council before actually paying the debt. Larry asked about the Rebecca Spitler since they voted to discontinue assessments years ago. The balance owed to GDIF for the Spitler is \$10,774 currently. Larry stated since we are now working on Maplebrook & Birch Tree they should be paying part of that since it is draining into that. They are included in the whole watershed. Jim said if we forgave the work previously done, they would be included in any future assessment which would now be lower. Jim suggested we take the \$28,000 for the Foresman + \$10,000 for the Rebecca Spitler out of Landfill in order to cover the debts owed. We need to verify the balances and then cover the balances directly out of Landfill.

May 2nd Minutes – Chris stated according to the May 2nd minutes he did as what the board recommended and figured the specifications to work on the Maplebrook Terrace drain without accessing the drain which sounds ironic. By doing that, Chris stated, it naturally increased the estimate, elevated the expense and now we have l/o that benefit from that who are going to have to pay more on that because one or two people refused to work with him and he didn't think that was right. Tim asked where this is to which Chris responded the Denham Subdivision referring to the item of obstructions. "Because there are two landowners that are not cooperating with me, because of those two l/o you wanted me to rework the specs and elevate the project". Jim asked how much more it was to which Chris responded, "It's twice – it's was like \$40,000 to work in the ditch, on the ditch". Tim asked, "Is Nipsco going to take the lines down so they can work" to which Chris responded, "No, they can still use a mini excavator under the power lines. Nipsco agreed to shut the power off if the contractor requested that". "The contractors also stated the battle with the obstructions is mine and they not going – so I have to get the obstructions dealt with before the contractors will even bid on it". Jim asked, "Did you send the guy that I mentioned"..."I sent several letters." "Did you send a letter to him?" Chris replied, "I sent a letter to him and to the other gentleman that refuses to remove

his fence". Russ stated, "No, he's talking about the contractor". "Did you ever send that letter to Pajoric Excavating"? Chris responded, "No, I haven't – I have all that information to get him added to the contractor list when we get to that point but we aren't there yet. I have to get the obstructions removed and then put it up to bid". Jim said, "I thought that if we had the right person we wouldn't have to remove the obstructions". Chris said, "But by having the right person you've doubled the project". Jim said, "We don't know that because you haven't got an estimate from them. I know you tried but then no one would even bid on it". "Because of the obstructions", Chris replied. "So how do you know? I thought we were going to try a couple different people to see if they would at least give us a cost then we can justify what we need to do", Jim stated. Chris asked, "Well, the question is, can you justify the increase no matter what it is"? Russ said, "I think what Jim is saying is he wants you to talk to the guy in Sumava and have him give you a quote on doing the project working around the obstructions". Jim added, "Without getting these people all irate". "And then you'll know – your estimate is double but maybe he'll come in at less", Russ explained. Deb said, "Tim had one in DeMotte also...Grube." "Those two people I thought we asked to contact", Jim said. "Did you contact them", Tim asked. "No, I didn't, based on my estimate. I mean, well we know it's going to cost more", Chris responded. "Well, we don't know that- that was your estimate", Russ added. "That was my estimate based on the scope of work", Chris explained. "But that is not from a contractor though", Russ replied. "No, it was based on the increase because you are working on a drain without accessing it. You have to actually work in the drain to work on the drain", Chris stated. Jim asked, "Chris, could you get estimates from those two guys – to do the work – and that way there we can justify". "And to work around the obstructions", Russ added. "And then we can justify getting in a legal match with these people because then it's going to have Dan involved". Chris replied, "Where's it going to end? I have farmer's that have to move fence. I mean if we're going to go to this route, we are not going to have to allow or require farmer's to remove their fence. So now we're working around fence and where is it going to end"? "I understand where you are going with that, but judging from what it looks like in there, if you make these two people remove their fences, they are going to be all hot about all the sheds that are right along the line too and this could just blow up", Tim said. "Actually, by moving on the other side of the ditch to avoid the one shed, it actually causes the project to be less", replied Chris, "Because we can get equipment in. Two landowners on the other side said 'I'll do anything just get it done'. So because of that shed being there, the neighbors came to me and said 'you can work on my side' and we don't have to move the one shed. Unfortunately I can't say that about the fence and another shed on the other lateral – Phase 2". Jim asked, "Tim, what do you want to do". "I say get the estimates from the contractors". Jim said, "He went out there, he walked it so let's get estimates from the contractors". "Um, I am very uncomfortable starting that, like I said I've got farmers", Chris responded. "Chris, that's not saying we're going to do it", Russ said. Jim added, "We just need something to justify it – hey, this is going to cost us 'x' number of dollars more and this is legal – I mean that is your estimate & this guy can at least show us." "OK, let me go one step further", Chris replied. "As I interpret the law you guys can make whatever ruling you want – the obstruction is still there - I'm going to go out and take it out, I don't care

what ruling you guys make". "And that is my interpretation of the..." "So go – so go take it out – but I'm not going to rule that", Jim responded, "I'm not going to rule that". "No", Tim added. "Pat, is that enough information for you", Chris asked. "Well, I mean, if they check back there, I think he does have the authority", replied Pat. "And that's fine, I'm just telling you, because when they call me, I'll tell them that was the surveyor that ordered that - not this board". "OK, that's fine", replied Chris. "I mean if that's your job", Russ said. "That's my job and I deal with this every day", Chris stated. "I don't have a problem with it", Jim said. "Get ready, Dan", Tim said. "Do what you got to do". "I'd like to work with both attorneys considering the situation that I'm in and the county may be in as a result", Chris stated. "The county doesn't need to be in a situation", Tim replied, "That's what this board is trying to stop". "I understand", Chris replied, "But I think the statute is clear". Pat said, "Let me interject just so we are all on the same page". "With respect to Chris' position as the surveyor I provide legal services to Chris and obviously I work directly for the county too. I agree, and I think we all agree, that by statute he has the authority to go in and do that if he chooses to do that. So that is fine. If I need to do that or Dan does or if we need to get another attorney to represent each entity we can go down that road. But before we do, I want to make sure that we are all clear on this. Is the drainage board making a decision on that or are they just not". "We're not doing nothing", Jim responded. "It's just not an issue for the drainage board", Pat confirmed. "No", replied Russ. "Is that what your position is, Chris", asked Pat. "That's fine". "No, I'm just asking. Your position as an elected official, that is you, as the surveyor's responsibility." "The issue has been submitted to the drainage board but I feel that they did not address the issue", Chris answered. "OK, so there's no action taken", Pat said. "Right", replied Chris. "Well, we did address the issue", stated Jim, "we wanted estimates". "It should be in the minutes", Deb said. "So, Chris, if you want to pull your authority and go rip out somebody's fence, go ahead and do that". Chris responded, "That's not my point. If I start leaving fences and trying to work around them, I have got fences on one side and buildings on other sides. And so I have to choose the lesser of two evils". "OK". "And that's where we're at. And if I have an obstruction in the way and I have a drain that has been legally petitioned to work on by the landowners that are affected by this and that benefit by this, and now I have an obstruction that is going to elevate the cost to these I/o that have requested this. This isn't my project - this is a result of their initiative. So now I have an obstruction in the way to satisfy their need to improve their drain". "So just take them out if you have to take them out", Russ said. "I'm not telling you to take them out", Jim said. "What I'm telling you is we ruled to have two estimates done and you didn't want to do that and that is my answer when people call me or they call Tim and ask 'why did you rip our fence down'. That is my answer to them". "Because I didn't want to get an estimate even when I knew it would elevate the price of the project". "But if we get the estimate we might justify that – if we have the estimate", said Russ and Jim. Jim commented, "Then we could say look – we had two contractors come in- you haven't even had an estimate because those people didn't want to do it. So I would really like to get a couple estimates so we could prove to them that we have this problem and they need to address it. But without that, we don't have any proof". Deb asked, "Were the minutes correct in that we were to rewrite the specs and contact the suggested contractors" to which Jim said, "Yes, right, right, right – that was

the last ruling that we did". "I don't question that", replied Chris. "So it wasn't done", said Tim to which Jim agreed, "It wasn't done". Russ started, "If these two contractors" ... and Chris said, "I reworked the specs and it's" ... "Good – you reworked the specs but you didn't contact the contractors", said Jim. "That doesn't address that obstruction", spoke Chris, "nor any obstruction in the future because you are making a policy change and if we are going to start picking on these one at a time" "What were the policy changes"? "If you are avoiding obstructions today I would have to interpret that to mean that this is a policy change and now we'll have to deal with each of these on an individual basis", stated Chris. "We always do, Chris", replied Jim. "If there are trees on one side we try to go to the other side, we try to work out things, we bring easements in, we bring easements out, we do it to work with the people of Newton County and that's what we've always tried to do". Jim continued, "We've done that on every job that we've done if somebody comes in and complains. I don't remember one time that we went in and ripped somebody's fence out. I've never ruled that way". "That's because they've volunteered to take them out whenever the question was posed to them. We have one I/o that says absolutely, positively, I'm not removing my fence, regardless of the shape it's in". Jim responded, "But we had one more option that we asked you to do and you didn't fulfill that. We asked you to get..." "So in other words you are making a policy change", remarked Chris, "that whenever there is an obstruction, you want to know so you can make a decision" ... "All we are asking is for you to get two estimates and then we can ask" started Russ and "then we can prove to these people". "If you don't want to do it, fine...just rip the fence out". "I'm not credible enough to sit here and tell you" ... "Then just rip the fence out then, Chris, that's all. We're not going to recommend it", stated Russ.

"I've given estimates before" ... "But the one estimate today, one came in at forty some thousand and the other at 20 some thousand", said Jim. "So sometime it comes in more and sometimes it comes in less – your estimate. I've seen it blow a contract off because your estimate wasn't in the ballpark. And then we had to redo it. So don't sit and say that unless the man is actually going to do the work, I'm not saying we don't trust you, Chris – I'm just saying that things change". "But you do what you want, Chris, I think we're done with this". "If I wanted to do what I want it would have been gone by now", stated Chris. "I tried to get the board involved, but the board" ... "Truthfully, Chris, I can't see what's wrong with having a contractor come in and saying I can work around those fences at this amount of cost", stated Russ, "and then we can justify if we want to work around the fence or if we are going to make them tear them out. I think it's like Jim was saying, it's the same thing whether it's on a ditch or anything else". "We always have – I don't remember ever going in and ripping anybody's stuff up", commented Jim. "No, we haven't to date", said Chris. "I've been here eleven years and I really don't want to start now", mentioned Jim. "So why start now", questioned Tim. "I don't think you have, have you", Jim questioned Chris. "No, I have not had to pull out anybody's fence", replied Chris. "So let's go one more little step and see if we can", started Jim. "It's a big step – this is like going to the moon", stated Chris. "Why"? "Because you have an entire drainage system at the north end full of residences, residential properties with houses and fences – entire drainage system – an entire river basin – and now you want to start this policy. And it frightens me that if you are going to do it with this one as these come

up and we know we have a house over a drain that we are going to have to deal with, and we know we are going to have some other fences that we have to deal with – either fences or buildings – and now you want to start”....”Well then according to what you are saying you can go rip the fences out and you can rip that house out”, stated Russ,” right”? “In a matter of speaking, I can, but I’m working with the l/o”, replied Chris. “If you are going to get rid of those fences, then get rid of that trailer too”, said Jim, “then you’re going to have everybody mad at you”. Deb asked, “Dan, didn’t you suggest at the last meeting that we use the alternative of specifying the job with the use of a bobcat? I thought that’s where we were – that we were going to re-spec the job with a bobcat and include those other two contractors to get prices”? “A bobcat was in the original specs”, stated Chris. “So how did you re-spec it, Chris”, asked Russ? “I re-specked it so they could use the bobcat in the bottom of the ditch without using the easement that is on the side”, replied Chris. “And your estimate was twice as much”, asked Tim. “Well, yea, when you stop to think about it”, stated Chris. “But it was twice as much”? “Yea, it was twice as much”. “But that was your estimate”, said Russ. “I guess what we’re trying to say is we don’t know what a contractor’s going to say”, stated Russ. “That means go the other direction I guess”, said Russ. “I think what we are saying is unless we get another estimate we are not going to deal with it”. “We are not going to order it done”. “I only order it done when I find it”, replied Chris. “You might as well while you are doing that, go right to the trailer”, stated Tim. “I am working on the trailer”, replied Chris. “I am going to tell you something, Chris. If you go and rip those two fences out on your authority alone, I am going to tell you I am not going to pay that guy for the trailer and I am not going to do any extra work over there. You need to enforce your authority over there if you are going to do it in one spot – there is no difference – just because one cost more than the other”, stated Jim. “If you are going to pull that off then do them both”, said Jim. “And you need to go to the council to ask for additional funding for legal costs”, said Tim. “The trailer was tabled – how do you want to deal with that”, asked Chris. “You deal with it”, responded Jim. “You are dealing with it”, confirmed Tim. “If you are going to go in and rip two fences out, you might as well drag that trailer out too”, said Jim. “Well, right now it is on the table though – I’m not going to go in there and rip it out as long as it is on the table”, stated Chris. “What’s on the table”? “The 40 thousand dollars the guy wanted for the property or trailer or whatever it was. Dan, do you remember any of that” questioned Chris. “Why would I pay \$40,000 when you have the authority to go rip it out of there”, Jim questioned. “There is no sense in us paying the 40 grand”, agreed Tim. “So what is your ruling on your previous motion”, questioned Chris. “There is no ruling – right now it’s a standstill. Why would I pay \$40,000 to please him and then you are going to rip out two people’s fence” questioned Jim. “You would be setting policy by doing that”, stated Tim. “Well, he made a proposal if you are not going to accept it, I need to know that”, replied Chris. “We not going to pay \$40,000”, said Russ. “No, we’re not”, said Jim. Dan said he did not go back to the other attorney and asked if we wanted to make a counter offer to which the board declined. “So I guess, Chris, Dan is going to go back and tell him we won’t accept \$40,000”, stated Russ. “Right, O.K. I’ll work with Dan then. As long as they are working with the board, I’m not going to do anything. But when somebody just adamantly refuses to work with us.” Jim said, “We could make an offer of \$5,000 and they will adamantly refuse to work with us and then you can go

ahead and pull the trailer”, responded Jim. “I don’t care – however you want to do this but as long as...” started Chris. “Basically we might as well keep moving here. Because then you could take one letter and change it to trailer from fence and trailer to fence and go ahead and move it”, said Jim. “Well, it’s not quite like that”, said Chris, to which Jim responded, “Pretty close to that”. “Not quite, but I understand what you are saying”, said Chris. “We really don’t need to be here anymore”, said Tim, “if you’re just going to handle it all”. “I guess I don’t understand what the purpose of this board is then. Why do I sit here for 2 hours twice a month”, asked Tim. “You’re needed”, responded Chris. “We just got done with the Frank Johnson tile. We asked you to do that three months ago and you stalled on it and now we’re back to the same thing”, said Jim. “I am not going to work on private drains. I don’t know why that is so hard to comprehend”, stated Chris. “Well, we got that taken care of”, said Russ. “So if you want to make an offer or don’t want to make an offer on the trailer - we can say we are not going to accept the offer on the trailer and see what the response back is”, stated Russ. “OK, thanks Dan”, said Chris.

Tim made a motion to adjourn with a second from Jim. Motion carried.